# **DNA Case Files, Reports, and Reviews**

## **Table of Contents**

1	Introduction			2
2	Sco	DPE		2
3	Pro	OCEDL	JRES	2
_	3.1		ords	
	3.1		Case Records	
	3.1	2	Administrative Records	
	3.1	3	Examination Records	
	3.1	.4	CODIS-Eligible Profiles	4
	3.1	5	Case-Related Records from Non-FBI Laboratories	
	3.2	Ехр	edited Results	
	3.3	Seq	uence Confirmation	5
	3.4	For	matting and Content of a Laboratory Report	5
	3.5		viewing a Laboratory Report	
	3.5.1		Technical Review	
	3.5	5.2	Administrative Review	8
	3.6	Issu	iing a Laboratory Report	9
4	Red	^ORDS	·	9
-				
5	REF	EREN	CES	9
6	Rev	/ISION	HISTORY	9

Page 1 of 9

BIO-500-00: Case Files, Reports, and Reviews Status: Active

Issue Date: 02/04/2022 Issued By: Laboratory Director Archive Date: N/A

## **DNA Case Files, Reports, and Reviews**

#### 1 Introduction

These procedures supplement the relevant procedures in the FBI Laboratory level 1 documents and establishes procedures for the preparation and review of DNA discipline case file records and *Laboratory Reports* (7-1 or 7-1 LIMS).

#### 2 SCOPE

This document applies to DNA personnel in the DNA Casework Unit (DCU) and Scientific and Biometrics Analysis Unit (SBAU) that prepare or review DNA case files and Laboratory Reports.

## 3 PROCEDURES

#### 3.1 Records

#### 3.1.1 Case Records

- A. All case-related records will be retained as physical supporting records or electronic supporting records in accordance with the appropriate level 1 documents.
  - The DCU and SBAU use Sample Tracking and Control Software (STACS) in lieu
    of Forensic Advantage (FA) to record and/or generate many of the caserelated administrative and examination records.
  - 2. Electropherograms retained as supporting records (i.e., printouts or PDFs) should be of sufficient resolution to demonstrate that all DNA types are supported by the analytical data during technical review.
  - 3. When a single lab number has multiple FA Case Records for examination in the DNA units, the supporting records retained for each Case Record may be truncated to the pages of examination and administrative records that support the examinations reported under the specific Case Record.
  - 4. When supporting records are retained electronically, the Examiner will ensure they have reviewed the records. If the Examiner's initials (or secure electronic equivalent) are not on each page of the examination records, the Examiner will record their review typically by using available electronic tools (e.g., Adobe Acrobat Pro) to mark at least the first page of a PDF, by approving the record when uploaded to the Case Record Object Repository in FA, or by recording their review in a communication log.
  - 5. Copies of examination or administrative records generated by other Laboratory units or outside entities that are not used by the examiner in the evaluation of the evidence or to support the results or conclusions do not need to be retained in the DNA electronic supporting records (i.e., Case Record Object Repository) or the DNA Supporting Documentation Envelope (7-251), commonly referred to as a physical 1A.

BIO-500-00: Case Files, Reports, and Reviews	Page 2 of 9	Issue Date: 02/04/2022
--	-------------	------------------------

- B. If amendments to technical records are made, DNA personnel ensure the change can be tracked to the previous versions or the original observation. Amendments include the date of the alteration, an indication of the altered aspects, and the personnel responsible for the alteration. Nothing in the administrative and/or examination records may be obliterated or erased.
  - Contemporaneous revisions are not considered amendments. Changes are
    considered contemporaneous if made before reaching a decision point.
    Decision points may include transferring samples to the next stage of
    processing, uploading completed examination records to STACS or FA, or
    submitting the file for technical review.
  - 2. If an identifier or similar addition is made to the case records (e.g., laboratory number, the "SECRET" or "copy" designation) manually or through the use of a stamp or other electronic means (e.g., page counter), this addition is not considered an amendment and does not require the date or initials of the person making the addition.
  - 3. The following are methods that may be used to track changes made to completed records.
    - Changes to hard copy and/or handwritten records may be tracked using a single strike-out, dating and initialing the change or by retaining any pages that are replaced in the 1A.
    - Changes to electronic records in STACS are tracked within STACS.
    - Electronic record pages may be annotated, added, or amended when the revised or additional pages are clear. If a page is replaced, the original page will be retained but marked to indicate it was replaced.
    - A new file may revise a portion of, or the entirety of, the original file, as appropriate through a version history for the file.
    - Changes to electronic records not otherwise tracked may be summarized in a communication log.
  - 4. Sufficient information (i.e., barcode or other unique identifier) regarding the instruments and reagents used in the examination will be recorded as prompted by STACS or the templates used to record case notes (e.g., the mtDNA Workbook).
  - 5. A list of commonly used abbreviations/symbols in DNA records is available within the DNA QA Manual (i.e., BIO-101).

## 3.1.2 <u>Administrative Records</u>

The following are examples of administrative records in the DNA Units:

- Record of the Technical and Administrative Review
- Case Record Report, for FA cases
- Laboratory Work Sheet (7-2), for Legacy cases
- Copy of incoming communication(s)
- Communication Logs and records
- Chain-of-Custody Log(s)
- Copy of Examination Plan (7-262), for Legacy cases

- Deviation Requests
- Records of the Combined DNA Index System (CODIS) or other appropriate database(s) eligible profile/sequence(s)

## 3.1.3 <u>Examination Records</u>

Examination records consist of all sampling and testing notes generated by a biologist and/or examiner that support the results and/or conclusions in the case. The following are examples of examination records in the DNA Units:

- Serology Notes
- Collection Notes
- Extraction Notes
- Quantification Notes
- Sample Dilution/Combination Notes
- Amplification/Cycle Sequencing/CE Notes
- Electropherograms
- Sequencher<sup>™</sup> sheets
- Allele Tables/Sequence Summary Sheets
- Statistical Calculations (e.g., STRMix, EMPOP, YHRD, KinCAlc)
- Database match and/or rarity calculator results (e.g., Moderate Match Estimate [MME], Match Rarity Estimate [MRE], COSTaR)
- Compact disc(s) containing Genetic Analyzer 3130xl or 3500xL analysis files and/or Sequencher™ files (when applicable)

## 3.1.4 CODIS-Eligible Profiles

For cases with CODIS-eligible profiles, the following information must be recorded and verified prior to upload to SDIS. The information may be recorded and verified as case file records or via STACS, as applicable. Refer to the applicable DNA procedure for CODIS entry and eligibility requirements (i.e., BIO-590).

- DNA profile and Amelogenin (if applicable) results being entered
- Specimen category (e.g., Forensic Unknown, Missing Person)
- Relevant eligibility information
- Database match and/or rarity calculator results, if applicable
- Pedigree and metadata for missing person, if applicable (Missing Persons cases only)
- Consent forms (Missing Persons cases only)

#### 3.1.5 Case-Related Records from Non-FBI Laboratories

Relevant examination and administrative records generated by a non-FBI laboratory for a case assigned to the DCU or SBAU will be categorized as administrative records and will be retained in the physical 1A and/or may be uploaded with the administrative records to the Case Record Object Repository in FA.

## 3.2 Expedited Results

- A. Any dissemination of expedited results prior to the issuance of the *Laboratory Report* will be provided and recorded in accordance with the appropriate level 1 document. The communication record must include the opinions or interpretations communicated by dialog with the contributor.
- B. The following results or conclusions require verification/technical review by another qualified Examiner and that verification/technical review recorded in the case notes prior to dissemination:
  - Mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) conclusions of "cannot be excluded" or "inconclusive"
  - o Comparisons to nuclear DNA (nDNA) mixture profiles evaluated with STRMix
  - o Statistical results
  - Conclusions based on kinship calculation results
- C. The following do not require verification/technical review prior to dissemination of expedited results:
  - Comparisons to single source nDNA profiles (if statistical results are not provided)
  - o Comparisons to nDNA profiles resulting in a manual exclusion
  - o mtDNA exclusions
  - Parent/offspring comparisons to full profiles (if no statistical results provided)
  - Other testing results (e.g., serology)
- D. DNA examination records will not be released without a recorded technical review.

## 3.3 Sequence Confirmation

The mtDNA sequences for all samples and associated controls (HL60, NC, and RB) will be confirmed/verified by a second qualified individual. Sequence confirmation may be completed prior to completion of the *Laboratory Report* or during technical review. Refer to the appropriate DNA interpretation procedure (i.e., BIO-571).

## 3.4 Formatting and Content of a Laboratory Report

- A. An Examiner will prepare a *Laboratory Report* in accordance with the appropriate level 1 document. The *Laboratory Report* will contain or reference the following information, as appropriate:
  - Case identifier (i.e., laboratory number(s) and/or Case ID number(s)).
  - Description of the evidence received or examined by the DNA Units and identification of the samples tested for DNA, when applicable.
  - DNA technology (e.g., STR, YSTR, mtDNA) used for analysis.
  - Identification of the loci or amplification system or region(s) for which characterization was attempted.
  - Results and/or conclusions for each forensic sample tested.

BIO-500-00: Case Files, Reports, and Reviews	Page 5 of 9	Issue Date: 02/04/2022
--	-------------	------------------------

- Qualitative interpretative statement and/or a quantitative (statistical) statement to support all inclusions or for all conclusions supported by a STRmix analysis.
- Report date.
- o Disposition of evidence.
- Name of the Examiner responsible for the content of the Laboratory Report.
- B. The date on the Laboratory Report will be the date the technical content of the report was finalized and will reflect the date on or prior to which all technical work supporting the results and/or conclusions in the *Laboratory Report* was complete.
  - 1. The report date will be updated, as appropriate, during the review process if revised or additional examination records are generated, unless the new records contain no additional technical content (e.g., reprint of STACS notes for an administrative edit).
- C. The Results of Examination section of the *Laboratory Report* will include, as appropriate, sampling descriptions, results and/or conclusions, statistical calculation results, information pertaining to the entry of sample(s) profiles into the CODIS or other appropriate databases, and a Methods/Limitations section.
  - 1. Evidence listed in the *Laboratory Report* as received but not examined may be addressed in the Listing and Description of Evidence, Results of Examination, or the Remarks sections, as appropriate.
  - 2. The initial entry of a profile into a DNA database will be included in the *Laboratory Report*.
  - 3. The extent of database searches will be communicated to the contributor and updated as needed.
- D. The Remarks section of a Laboratory Report will contain the disposition of evidence and other remarks as required by level 1 documents.
  - This section may also contain requests for additional samples (e.g., additional bones from an Unidentified Human Remains (UHR), additional relatives of a Missing Person), information pertaining to samples retained for future testing (e.g., for the National Missing Persons DNA Database (NMPDD), population databasing), and potential additional testing that may be suitable (i.e., Y-STRs).
  - 2. Evidence will be dispositioned as consumed when no evidence material remains for future testing (e.g., the entire swab tip is cut from the swab, an entire bone fragment is pulverized and used for extraction).

## 3.5 Reviewing a Laboratory Report

- A. Technical and administrative reviews will be conducted in accordance with the level 1 documents.
  - 1. The case file records will reflect that the technical and/or administrative review(s) were conducted and who performed those review(s).
  - 2. The electronic signature of the technical and administrative reviewer(s) is captured in STACS and/or FA.
- B. Technical and administrative reviewers may be self-assigned in STACS and/or FA.

BIO-500-00: Case Files, Reports, and Reviews	Page 6 of 9	Issue Date: 02/04/2022
--	-------------	------------------------

- The technical reviewer(s) must be currently or previously qualified in the method, technology, typing test kit, platform, and interpretation software being reviewed, be authorized to conduct technical reviews, and be proficiency tested semi-annually as a Forensic Examiner (i.e., conducting interpretation and/or technical review).
- 2. If a portion of the examination records are technically reviewed by a separate technical reviewer (e.g., expedited results), the review of the affected portion of the examination records will be noted in the case file.
- 3. The technical reviewer cannot be the author of the report or review their own work, with the exception of confirmation/verification records.
  - Sequence confirmation and technical review may be completed by the same or different individuals provided neither are the primary interpreting examiner or the author of the report.
- 4. In addition to the UC or TL, any unit personnel may be tasked to perform administrative reviews. An administrative reviewer does not need to be currently or previously qualified in the methodology being reviewed or be semi-annually proficiency tested.
- C. Disagreements will be handled in accordance with the level 1 documents with the following exception:
  - 1. When the reporting examiner and the technical reviewer cannot come to an agreement on the reported NOC, a qualified Supervisory Forensic Examiner (or designated SME) will facilitate a collaborative review of data pertaining to the reported NOC prior to the formation of a Scientific Review Board (SRB). The notes will reflect any change in interpretation. The Laboratory Report will reflect the agreement of the involved parties. If agreement cannot be made after the collaborative review, an SRB will be formed as described in the level 1 documents.

## 3.5.1 Technical Review

Completion of the technical review signifies agreement with the examination process and technical information in the case file and *Laboratory Report*.

- A. In addition to the requirements in the level 1 documents, the technical reviewer will review:
  - The administrative and examination records and the *Laboratory Report*.
  - The *Laboratory Report* to ensure the presence and accuracy of the report elements listed above.
  - The *Laboratory Report* to verify that the results/conclusions are supported by the data for each item tested and in compliance with laboratory guidelines.
  - The statistical calculations, if applicable.
  - The CODIS eligibility, or eligibility for other appropriate databases, of the DNA profile/sequence(s), including the DNA types and specimen category for eligible samples, if applicable.
    - The specimen category may be reviewed within STACS and not captured in the case file.

BIO-500-00: Case Files, Reports, and Reviews	Page 7 of 9	Issue Date: 02/04/2022
--	-------------	------------------------

- B. The technical reviewer will ensure that calculations and data transfers subject to human error (i.e., manual calculations or transcriptions) are checked, unless these values are checked by STACS or other electronic tools. If the technical records do not indicate a necessary check was performed, the technical reviewer will perform the check.
- C. In addition, for nuclear DNA results, except for those generated using an NDIS approved Rapid DNA System on casework reference samples, the technical reviewer will:
  - Review supporting electropherograms to verify that all DNA types and conclusions are supported by the analytical data, if applicable. If electropherogram printouts or PDFs are not of sufficient resolution to verify all DNA types, the technical reviewer must request additional electropherogram printouts or PDFs or review the data electronically and record that the data was electronically reviewed.
  - Ensure all controls, internal size standards and allelic ladders meet the interpretation guidelines for reported results, if applicable.
- D. For mitochondrial DNA results, the sequence confirmation will fulfill the technical review requirements for the supporting electropherograms, the DNA types (aka sequences), and controls. The technical reviewer, if necessary, will generate the appropriate sequence confirmation records. If the sequence confirmation is done prior to the technical review, the technical reviewer will review the sequence confirmation records, to include:
  - The Sequencher™ project and profile sheets from the Examiner and confirmer to ensure they are included.
  - The case summary sheet to ensure it contains all analyzed sequences with the correct sequence ranges and profiles.
  - Sequence results to ensure the appropriate sequences were compared to known phylogenetic alignments, if applicable.
  - o The review of the controls.

## 3.5.2 Administrative Review

Completion of the administrative review indicates that the *Laboratory Report* has been approved and is authorized for issuance.

- A. In addition to the requirements in the level 1 documents, the administrative reviewer will:
  - Review the administrative and examination records and the *Laboratory Report* for clerical accuracy and ensure the presence and accuracy of the
     report elements listed above.
  - Review the Chain-of-Custody and disposition of evidence.
  - Ensure that a technical review was completed, when applicable, and properly recorded.

#### 3.6 Issuing a Laboratory Report

- A. Upon completion of the appropriate reviews, the *Laboratory Report* and supporting records will be serialized in Sentinel in accordance with the level 1 documents.
  - When a physical 1A is created, a physical attachment will be added in Sentinel. At least the total number of physical pages and/or data discs of administrative and examination records retained within the 1A envelope will be accounted for on the outside of the 1A envelope.
  - 2. When supporting records are compiled electronically, a digital 1A will be created and uploaded to Sentinel as a digital attachment.
- B. The Laboratory Report will be considered issued when the Laboratory Report is uploaded to Sentinel. The issue date is the date of upload approval and is captured on the official record of the Laboratory Report in Sentinel. This record contains the FBI file copy of the Laboratory Report. The issue date is not required on the copy of the Laboratory Report retained within the physical 1A.

#### 4 Records

Examination and administrative records will be generated and retained in accordance with this procedure and the appropriate level 1 documents.

#### 5 REFERENCES

FBI Laboratory <u>National DNA Index System (NDIS) DNA Data Accepted at NDIS (Operational Procedures)</u>, latest version.

Federal Bureau of Investigation, <u>Quality Assurance Standards for Forensic DNA Testing Laboratories</u>, latest version.

Federal Bureau of Investigation, <u>Quality Assurance Standards Audit for Forensic DNA Testing Laboratories</u>, latest version.

#### 6 REVISION HISTORY

Revision	Issue Date	Changes
00	02/04/2022	Reformatted DNA 610-12 into new template and assigned new Doc ID. Added exception for disagreements to NOC conclusions.