Compositional Analysis by Spark Discharge in Argon Optical Emission Spectroscopy (SDAR-OES)

Table of Contents

1	INTR	ODUCTION	2
2	Scor	PE	2
3	Prin	ICIPLE	2
4	Spec	IMENS	3
5		IPMENT	
6		NDARDS AND CONTROLS	
7	Proc	CEDURE	
	7.1	Prepare Specimens	. 3
	7.2	Clean Instrument	. 3
	7.3	Re-profile Optic	. 4
	7.4	Standardize	. 4
	7.5	Perform Analysis	. 4
8	CALC	CULATIONS	5
	8.1	Quantitative Analysis	
	8.2	Comparative Analysis	
9	Acce	EPTANCE CRITERIA	7
	9.1	Instrument Performance	
	9.2	Quantitative Analysis Conclusions	
	9.3	Comparative Analysis Conclusions	
10	Mea	SUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	
11		TATIONS	
	11.1	Limits of Quantitation	
	11.2	Relative Standard Deviation	. 8
12	SAFE	TY	9
13	Refe	RENCES	9
14	Revie	SION HISTORY	c

Compositional Analysis by Spark Discharge in Argon Optical Emission Spectroscopy (SDAR-OES)

1 Introduction

The concentrations of selected elements in metallic objects can serve to chemically characterize their source. The concentrations of the elements intentionally added to an alloy are controlled by manufacturers to impart specific metallurgical properties required for a given product. Intentionally added elements are commonly specified to fall within a particular concentration range for a given alloy. Other elements are specified at maximum levels which the manufacturer may not exceed but which may otherwise vary considerably. Spark Discharge in Argon-Optical Emission Spectroscopy (SDAR-OES) is a destructive technique that can detect such variations in elemental concentrations, and thus provide means for identifying the alloy designation of a product, differentiating among the same alloy produced by different manufacturers, and distinguishing among specific production runs made by a single manufacturer.

2 SCOPE

This document applies to case working personnel using SDAR-OES in support of metallurgy examinations.

General procedures for quantitative compositional analysis by SDAR-OES are described below. Operational procedures for specific alloy classes or specimen sizes are detailed in supplemental technical procedures.

3 PRINCIPLE

SDAR-OES has its basis in quantum mechanics. Electricity is used to heat a small surface region of the specimen to a plasma state where photons of characteristic wavelengths (energies) are emitted as visible or ultraviolet (UV) light. Photons are collimated to form a parallel beam which impacts a grating and is diffracted at unique angles depending on the wavelengths present. Each diffracted photon of a particular wavelength strikes a specific location on a detector array. This initiates an electronic reaction that is converted to a digital signal and stored in the channel corresponding to that photon's wavelength. Many such emission and collection events are measured during a single experiment. Quantitative determination of individual elemental concentrations is achieved by comparing the measured emission intensities to the manufacturer-determined calibration curves resident on the instrument's computer system.

The SDAR-OES is used to produce validation data for alloy systems, establishing a limit of quantitation (LOQ) for various components within those systems. In an alloy system for which validation data has been generated, SDAR-OES can measure the concentrations of elements above the established LOQ, typically in the parts per million (ppm) range.

The analysis is performed by preparing a flat surface on the specimen, placing it on the plasma spark stand and completing an electrical circuit arc-spark protocol predetermined by the instrument software. Preparation method, spark stand components, and arc-spark protocol are specific for the alloy class being analyzed.

METAL-400-02: Composition by SDAR-OES Page 2 of 9	Issue Date: 09/15/2022
---	------------------------

4 SPECIMENS

This procedure can be used for the analysis of metal alloy classes for which the instrument has been validated. Specimens must be large enough to completely cover the analysis area to permit their examination by the method outlined here. The surface to be analyzed must also be flat with any nonmetallic debris and scale removed.

5 EQUIPMENT

- SpectroLab LAV M10 spectrometer
- Spectro RH 18/30 optic re-profiling standard
- Standardization materials provided by the instrument manufacturer
- Specimen preparation equipment specific to the alloy class being analyzed
- High purity argon
- One or more certified reference material(s) (CRMs) matrix-matched to the alloy class being tested
- Additional reference materials, as needed
- Spark stand insert (waveguide), tungsten electrode and wire brush dedicated to the alloy class being tested
- Small specimen spark stand plate with insert, 6 mm diameter opening (optional)
- Vacuum cleaner with precision nozzle attachment
- Lint-free wipes

6 STANDARDS AND CONTROLS

Manufacturer-provided standards are used for re-profiling and standardization. The standardization samples to be employed in this procedure are specific to the alloy class being analyzed. Proper standardization is verified using one or more CRMs in the same alloy class. The specific CRMs are chosen by the operator to demonstrate adequate performance of the instrument on the elements of interest in a particular analysis. Additional reference materials may be used to further demonstrate instrument performance on a specific element within a similar matrix.

7 PROCEDURE

7.1 Prepare Specimens

Specimens measured by SDAR-OES must be flat and free of debris and scale over the entire analysis region. The method of specimen preparation for any specific alloy class is detailed in supplemental technical procedures. Typically, steels are ground on zirconium oxide abrasive paper, cast irons are abraded using a swing grinder with Al_2O_3 abrasive, and softer, non-ferrous materials are turned on a lathe. Other methods of preparation may be suitable, but quantitative analysis must be validated for each preparation method. Optic re-profiling standards follow the steel preparation technique. Standardization materials follow the preparation method for the appropriate alloy class.

7.2 Clean Instrument

A. Turn off power ("Source") to the spark stand when opening stage plate and cabinet.

METAL-400-02: Composition by SDAR-OES Page 3 of 9 Issue Date: 09/15

- B. Assure that there is sufficient water in the system and that the air filter is not saturated with soot. Fill or exchange as necessary.
- C. Inspect the spark stand components for soot accumulation. Vacuum if necessary.
- D. If the spark stand components are changed in order to run a different alloy class, the spark stand must be thoroughly cleaned.
- E. Prior to running the re-profiling program, run a 'dummy' specimen of the same alloy class (steel) to flush out any residual oxygen and nitrogen in the system. This is especially important if the instrument has just been cleaned. More than one dummy analysis may be run to ensure that the elemental readings are stable

7.3 Re-profile Optic

- A. Verify that the proper spark stand components for the re-profiling standard (electrode and spark stand insert) are installed in the spark source stand.
- B. Follow the manufacturer's instructions to run the re-profile procedure to optimize alignment of the optics. A minimum of five measurements is recommended.
- C. Print the re-profiling results and retain them in the log accompanying the instrument.

7.4 Standardize

- A. Run the standardization procedure within the appropriate method for the alloy class chosen based on the evidence to be analyzed. A minimum of five readings per standard is recommended.
- B. Print the standardization results and retain them in the associated case file. Record the results of the standardization in the instrument log.

7.5 Perform Analysis

- A. Verify standardization with CRM(s) using the appropriate, standardized method for the selected alloy class. Follow surface preparation in the Prepare Specimens section, if needed. A minimum of five readings per standard is recommended.
- B. If the CRM results do not agree with the certified values, or if the relative standard deviations (rsd's) of the data exceed those given in the Limitations section, the instrument will be re-standardized, or re-profiled then re-standardized, to optimize performance.
- C. Additional matrix-matched reference materials may be analyzed to supplement the CRM demonstration of instrument performance.

METAL-400-02: Composition by SDAR-OES	Page 4 of 9	Issue Date: 09/15/2022
---------------------------------------	-------------	------------------------

- D. Print the CRM results and retain them in the associated case file.
- E. Prepare an appropriately sized area on the evidentiary item(s) (see the Prepare Specimens section).
- F. Follow the instrument-prompted sequence for specimen analysis. Take at least five measurements on each specimen.
- G. Compare the rsd of each element of interest to those given in the Limitations section. If the rsd's are too high:
 - 1. Assess the specimen surface.
 - i. Assure removal of all scale, embedded debris, or corrosion product. Resurface the specimen if necessary and repeat the test sequence.
 - ii. Identify porosity that may make the specimen unsuitable for OES testing.
 - 2. If the surface is adequately clean and rsd's are still higher than expected, make additional burns on each specimen to characterize inherent inhomogeneity of the material.
- H. Save and print the concentration data from individual measurements as well as the average and standard deviation of the collected measurements.
- I. Rerun one or more of the CRMs, taking a minimum of five measurements per CRM, to create pre- and post-test comparison(s) to determine if any instrument drift has occurred.
- J. Print the post-test CRM results and retain them in the associated case file.

8 CALCULATIONS

8.1 Quantitative Analysis

The measured emission intensity of each element of interest is compared to the emission intensity of a selected matrix line and the system calibration curves. This data is used to determine the weight percentage of each of the elements present. Quantitation of the data is performed automatically by the system program and is not directly controlled by the user.

Reporting the quantitative data generated by the instrument requires estimation of measurement uncertainty, see below. Measurement uncertainties are not typically calculated when a conclusion is limited to describing general alloy categories of evidentiary materials.

METAL-400-02: Composition by SDAR-OES	Page 5 of 9	Issue Date: 09/15/2022
---------------------------------------	-------------	------------------------

8.2 Comparative Analysis

Where quantitative data from two specimens are being compared, a pooled, two-tailed, Student's t-test statistic of the sample means (i.e., the composition data sets for the specimens) is used for the comparison. Two samples are deemed to be "indistinguishable" in the property under consideration if the absolute value of the t-value from the test differs by less than the preselected critical t-value ($t_{critical}$). The critical t-values are typically chosen so that an overall (Bonferroni-corrected) value of α = 0.05 can be achieved for the analysis and are determined by the degrees of freedom associated with the measurement. An α = 0.05 means there is a 5.0% chance of incorrectly concluding two samples are from different sources when they are not.

A. For each element present above the LOQ in both specimens, calculate the mean and variance of each test data set as follows:

The mean value: $\bar{x}_a = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n_a} x_i}{n_a}$ where is the average value of the measurements on

sample "a", $\sum x_i$ is the sum of the individual measurements and n_a is the number of measurements made on that sample.

The variance of the individual measurement values from sample "a" is given by:

$$s_a^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n_a} (x_i - \overline{x})^2}{n_a - 1}$$

The mean and variance of the data from sample "b" are calculated in the analogous manner.

- B. Calculate the pooled sample variance as: $s_p^2 = \frac{(n_a 1)s_a^2 + (n_b 1)s_b^2}{(n_a + n_b 2)}$
- C. Perform a standard two-tailed statistical test of the two sample means:

If $\left| \frac{\left(\overline{x}_a - \overline{x}_b\right)}{\left(\sqrt{s_p^2 \left(\frac{1}{n_a} + \frac{1}{n_b}\right)}\right)} \right| > t_{critical}$ for any point of comparison, the samples are concluded

to have a statistically significant difference. If not, the samples are concluded to be indistinguishable.

D. Typically, five or more measurements per specimen are used for performing comparisons.

9 ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA

9.1 Instrument Performance

- A. Adequate function of the SpectroLab LAV M10 will be demonstrated on CRMs and recorded in the case notes. In general, it is expected that the mean concentration values determined by the instrument on a given CRM will be within the range of values indicated on the certificate of analysis. If a measured element fails to give adequate agreement with the certified value, the instrument can either be restandardized to improve the agreement or, if the value is not critical (e.g., determining nominal product characteristics), it may be regarded as qualitative.
- B. The instrumental conditions (i.e., argon flow rate, spark voltage, spark duration, and spark sequence) are set by the instrument manufacturer and are not normally modified by FBI Laboratory personnel. If service of the instrument requires modification of these parameters, the verification sequence will be performed to demonstrate adequate performance on CRMs.

9.2 Quantitative Analysis Conclusions

Quantitative elemental composition results are limited to the specimens tested. Variation of composition of a source product may be able to be estimated based on production method and alloy, but such extrapolation must be clearly reported as opinion and supported by sound bases.

9.3 Comparative Analysis Conclusions

The average weight concentrations (wgt %) of a given element in two specimens are intercompared using a pooled t-test statistic of the type detailed in the Calculations section. The concentrations are deemed to be "analytically indistinguishable" if the absolute t-value for the test of the two specimens is less than the preselected critical t-value. This procedure is repeated for each of the elements present in the specimen. Critical t-values are chosen so that an α = 0.05 can be achieved for the overall analysis of the two specimens. Only elements above the LOQ are considered in calculating the " α *" used for individual comparisons: α * = α /r, where "r" is the number of elements present above the quantitation limit and α represents the approximate cumulative probability of calling two specimens analytically distinguishable when they are not.

For example, suppose 15 elements are above the LOQ, then choosing ($t_{0.9966}$ = $t_{critical}$) yields an α = 0.05. This means there is a 5.0% chance of incorrectly rejecting a match between any two specimens when one actually exists. Note that repetitive means testing requires a relatively high critical value of t. In contrast, for a $t_{0.99}$, α = 0.14 leading to a relatively high rate of false rejection (14%).

Segregation, surface depletion and microstructural banding may also need to be considered in evaluating alloy sources. Constituents that do not completely solutionize are especially prone to inhomogeneous distribution. Consequently, these may potentially exhibit unusually high t-test values. Because these effects depend on the prior processing history, generalizations often

METAL-400-02: Composition by SDAR-OES	Page 7 of 9	Issue Date: 09/15/2022
---------------------------------------	-------------	------------------------

cannot be applied. The examiner may make a determination that two specimens are associated to a given degree based on inspection of the analytic data and considerations of the possible sources of compositional variation in the material.

10 Measurement uncertainty

Quantitative data from this procedure are generally used for comparative purposes as detailed in the Calculations section. Expanded measurement uncertainty should not be used for these inter-comparisons because it increases the probability two samples will appear to be analytically indistinguishable and therefore increases the likelihood of type II errors (false inclusion). Instead, the variances associated with the samples and with data acquisition are accommodated by the statistical comparison.

When quantitative data are compared to a particular specification or when quantitative elemental compositions are reported and it is necessary to estimate the measurement uncertainty of an instrumental result, it will be calculated in accordance with CHEM-100. Measurement uncertainty associated with a particular element can vary depending on alloy class, concentration of the element of interest, and the concentrations of other elements present in the alloy, so uncertainties will be calculated on a case-by-case basis.

11 LIMITATIONS

11.1 Limits of Quantitation

The estimated limits of quantitation (LOQ) have been determined for each alloy class validated and are listed in the specific alloy class technical procedures. In general, these values have been found to correlate well with those observed in practice using CRMs (where these are available). However, some day-to-day variation is expected and values approaching the LOQ are best regarded as qualitative unless a CRM is available to confirm the specific elemental LOQ of interest in a particular instrumental run. Data below the LOQ are to be used only in a qualitative fashion due to the inherent uncertainty associated with them. For example, the absence of manganese in a steel analysis would be an important qualitative result due to the ubiquitous presence of this element in steels.

11.2 Relative Standard Deviation

The rsd ranges in Table 2 are used to provide guidance during testing. (Refer to section 7.5 Perform Analysis.) Insoluble elements will frequently give higher than expected rsd's due to their inherently inhomogeneous distribution in a given specimen.

Table 2: Typical Relative Standard Deviations for SDAR-OES Testing

Elemental Concentration (wgt %)	Acceptable rsd
0.1 - 100	5%
0.01 - 0.1	15%
LOQ - 0.01	30%

METAL-400-02: Composition by SDAR-OES	Page 8 of 9	Issue Date: 09/15/2022

12 SAFETY

- A. Review pertinent Safety Data Sheets (SDS) prior to machining and testing samples. Analyze the composition of an unknown metal sample by non-destructive means (e.g., x-ray fluorescence analysis) prior to SDAR-OES analysis. If additional guidance is required, contact the Laboratory Health and Safety Group.
- B. Wear appropriate eye protection when preparing specimens and cleaning and operating the SDAR-OES instrument.
- C. Wear a lab coat and gloves when cleaning the instrument and changing the air filter.

13 REFERENCES

• CHEM-100, Chemistry Unit, Federal Bureau of Investigation, Laboratory Division, latest revision

14 REVISION HISTORY

Revision	Issued	Changes
06	09/15/2022	Revised to comply with new formatting requirements. Relocated information from Introduction to Principle section. Distributed information from previous Instrumental Conditions and Decision Criteria sections into new Acceptance Criteria section. Informational references removed.